Demographic and Clinical Profile of Oral Submucous Fibrosis: A Retrospective Study

MOIN IFTIKHAR SHAPOO¹, MOHAMMAD YUNIS SALEEM BHAT*², DHEERAJ SHARMA³, ATOOFA ZARGAR⁴

BACKGROUND: Oral Submucous Fibrosis (OSMF) is a potentially malignant disorder which is irreversible in nature and has high morbidity and high malignant transformation rate and hence demands focus on prevention of the disease at population and individual level.

AIMS: Assessment of risk factors and the role of habit variables such as duration and frequency in the severity of OSMF and to ascertain the association of gender predilection for different habits and severity of OSMF.

MATERIALS AND METHOD: This descriptive retrospective study of 1801 OSMF patients was carried out at the Dental hospital in the rural population of Gwalior region. The clinicodemographic data including details of habits was collected for a period of 5 years, from January 2016 to December 2021. Collected data was analysed using Systat version 12 software.

RESULTS: The average age of the patient in the study was 32.8 years, with 16.5:1 M:F ratio. Significantly higher proportions of females (69.6%) were illiterate and belonged to low socioeconomic status. There was a statistically significant increase for areca nut chewing (OR=0.135(0.054-0.342), P < 0.0001), gutkha chewing (OR=22.32(10.421-47.817), P < 0.0001), tobacco chewing (OR= 0.111(0.04-0.308), p<0.0001), smoking habits (OR=30.791(7.472-126.89), P < 0.0001) and alcohol (OR=12.692(3.077-52.347, p < 0.0001) in males when compared with females. The maximum patients were seen in stage II (37%) and stage III (34%), followed by stage I (18.73%) and stage IV (10.3%) and the severity of OSMF was more in subjects who had the habits for longer duration.

CONCLUSION: There was a definite gender predilection for various habits and their variables (frequency, duration), educational and socioeconomic status, clinical features and disease severity. Significant correlation was also found between habit variables (duration, frequency) and severity of the disease.

KEYWORDS: Areca Nut, Clinical Grading, Gender, Gutkha, Oral Submucous Fibrosis, Oral Cancer

INTRODUCTION

Schwartz in 1952 first described Oral Submucous Fibrosis (OSMF) as "Atropicaidiopathica mucosae oris" while Jens J. Pindborg in 1966 described it as "an insidious, chronic disease that affects any part of the oral cavity and sometimes the pharynx. Although occasionally preceded by, or associated with, the formation of vesicles, it is always associated with a juxtaepithelial inflammatory reaction followed by fibroelastic change of the lamina propria and epithelial atrophy that leads to stiffness of the oral mucosa and causes trismus and an inability to eat".1 Along with the features mentioned above, OSMF, a potentially malignant disorder (PMD) is also characterized by clinical features such as progressive reduction of mouth opening, reduced tongue movement, blanching and leathery texture of the oral mucosa, depapillation of the tongue, and shrunken uvula.^{2,3}

Areca nut-chewing, in any formulation, has been

considered the main etiological agent even though multifactorial etiopathogenesis has been reported.¹ The disease has shown predominance towards Asian population and more exclusively in Indian population which could be attributed to the areca nut chewing habit in these regions. Prevalence of OSMF in Indian rural population has been reported ranging up to 0.4%.4 Illiteracy, lack of awareness of ill effects of various habits, lower socioeconomic status and peerpressure plays an important role in development of OSMF in rural population. The premalignant lesions caused by gutkha, areca nut, tobacco and related products can be reversed by quitting the habits at an earlier stage and by early diagnosis and proper treatment. Thus, it proves the importance of identifying the high-risk group and educating them about ill-effect of areca nut, tobacco, along with early diagnosis, treatment and prevention of debilitating diseases caused by these habits. These observations

© Moin Iftikar Shapoo et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY-NC 4.0, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the use is not commercial and the original author(s) and source are cited. **Submitted on:** 09-Jun-2022; **Accepted on:** 26-Aug-2022



justified our surge for the present study to assess the risk factors and clinical presentations of OSMF in the rural population. The role of critical components of a habit such as duration, frequency, and chewing time in the clinical grading of OSMF and its gender specificity is lacking in the present scenario of evidence-based dentistry.^{5,6} Thus, this study was also carried out to correlate these habit factors to the clinical grading of OSMF, in addition to its demographic and clinical profile in this rural population of western Maharashtra.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

An Observational Descriptive retrospective study of 1801 patients with a clinically diagnosed OSMF was carried out in the Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, after approval from institutional ethical committee. The data was collected for a period of 5 years from January 2016 to December 2021, from the detailed case records of these patients. Patients with a clinical diagnosis of OSMF, in the age group of 15 to 90 years were selected. Patients with known history of systemic disorders causing limitation of mouth opening like anemia and scleroderma and patients with a history of previous treatment for OSMF were excluded from the study. Data was collected in the context of details of demographics, involved habits, sites of lesion, signs and symptoms, clinical grading etc. The OSMF patients were divided in five categories based on age groups and duration of the habit and into four groups according to their frequencies of habits (per day). The different types of habits such as chewing of Gutkha, Areca nut, Pan masala, Betel quid, Smokeless tobacco, Smoking and Alcohol were recorded in detail in terms of duration and frequency. The patients were divided into single & multiple habits. The clinical grading into four stages according to their clinical presentation of the disease was done using Khanna and Andrade (1995) classification.7 The data was collected and recorded in tabulated format in excel sheet. All statistical analyses were performed using Systat version 12 software. Descriptive measures like mean values and standard deviations for continuous variables and percentage for categorical variables were calculated. The OSMF cases were classified by gender for comparison purposes. Estimation of odds ratio (OR)along with 95% confidence intervals was made for comparing risk of OSMF by gender. Tests of significance like unpaired t-test for comparing means and Chi-square test of association were performed for comparing percentages of independent two samples(male vs. females). A value of P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Demographics

In the present study males were predominant, out of 1801 patients, 1699 (94.30%) were male. The male to female ratio was 16.5:1. The youngest patient was 15 years of age whereas the oldest patient was 88 years old. Majority (68.3%) of the OSMF cases belonged to 20-39 years of age group. The average age of the patient in the study was 32.8 years. The mean age for males (n = 1699) was 32.2 \pm 11.3 (range 15-84) years and for females (n = 102) it was 42.9 ± 15.4 (range 15-88) years. Thus, occurrence of OSMF in younger age group(<30 years) was significantly higher in males as compared to females(P = 0.0001).69.6% of females with OSMF had a low socioeconomic status which was a significant observation when compared to males (14.9%).Similarly, proportion of illiterate females was also significantly higher (69.6%) when compared with illiterate men (12.8%) (Table 1).

Study	Ma	le	Fen	nale	То	tal	Test of
Variable	(n=10	588)	(n=	102)	(n=1	790)	significance
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
Age group (years)							
10-19	97	5.7	2	2	99	5.5	
20-29	97 725	43	16	15.7	99 741	41.4	
30-39	459	رت 27	26	25.5	481	26.9	*p<0.0001
40-49	263	-, 15.4	23	22.5	283	15.8	ptotocor
>50	155	8.9	35	34.3	186	10.4	
	-))	0.9))	לידנ	100	10.4	
Education							
Illiterate	216	12.8	71	69.6	287	16	
Non	805	47.7	31	30.4	836	46.7	*p<0.0001
Graduate							
Graduate	539	31.9	0	0	539	30.1	
PG	128	7.6	0	0	128	7.2	
Socio							
Economic							
Status							
Lower	251	14.9	71	69.6	322	18	*p<0.0001
Lower							
Middle	769	45.6	23	22.5	792	44.2	
Middle	574	34	8	7.8	582	32.5	
Upper	93	5.5	0	0	93	5.2	
Middle	1	0.1	0	0	1	0.1	
Upper							

Table 1. Demographics of OSMF patients

Habits

Out of 1801 patients, 61.56% (n = 111) patients had multiple (more than one) habits, 37.71% (n = 676) patients had exclusive habits (only one habit), while

Risk Factors	Male (N=631)	Female (N=44)	OR(95% CI)	P value					
	n (%)	n (%)							
	Areca nut								
Yes	422 (66.9)	6 (13.6)	12.788(5.321-30.732)	<0.0001					
No	212 (33.1)	38 (86.4)							
		Guthka							
Yes	57 (8.6)	22 (50)	0.094 (0.049-0.180)	<0.0001					
No	577 (91.4)	22 (50)							
	To	bacco (Non smoked)							
Yes	156 (24.2)	16 (36.4)	0.560(0.295-1.063)	0.076					
No	480 (75.8)	28 (63.6)							
		Smoking							
Yes	2 (0.3)		-	-					
No	629 (99.7)								

Table 2. Sex wise Risk Distribution with Single Risk Factor of OSMF

0.7% (n = 14) patients did not give history of any habit (table 2, 3).

Exclusive habits

Table 2 shows the risk distribution of OSMF cases having exclusive habits (n =675). Females have shown statistically significant predilection for exclusive gutkha chewing habit [OR = 0.094 (0.049-0.180), P =0.0001] when compared with males, followed by tobacco chewing habit [OR=0.560(0.295-1.063),P=0.076] which however was not statistically significant. Significant predilection for exclusive areca nut [OR =12.788(5.321-30.732)P =<0.0001]was found more in males as compared to females.

Multiple habits

Table 3 shows the risk distribution of OSMF patients with multiple habits (n = 1111). There was a statistically

significant predilection for areca nut chewing (OR=0.135(0.054-0.342), P < 0.0001), gutkha chewing (OR=22.32(10.421-47.817), P < 0.0001), tobacco chewing (OR= 0.111(0.04-0.308), P<0.0001), smoking habits (OR=30.791(7.472-126.89), P < 0.0001) and alcohol (OR=12.692(3.077-52.347), p < 0.0001) in males when compared with females.

Table 4 shows the gender-wise distribution of signs/symptoms in OSMF cases at first presentation. Vesicles /ulcerations [OR= 0.605(0.383-0.956),P= 0.031] and shrunken uvula [OR =0.616(0.408-0.929),P 0.021] were found to be significantly more prevalent in females when compared with males (Table 4).

Clinical grading

Out of 1801 patients, 339 cases(18.7%) were of stage I, 667(37%) patients were having stage II OSMF,610(34%)

Risk Factors	Male (N=1045)	Female (N=57)	OR(95% CI)	P value		
	n (%)	n (%)				
Areca nut						
Yes	611 (58.5)	52 (91.2)	0.135(0.054-0.342)	<0.0001		
No	434 (41.5)	5 (8.8)				
Guthka						
Yes	828 (78.5)	8 (14)	22.32(10.421-47.817)	<0.0001		
No	225 (21.5)	49 (86)				
Tobacco (Non smoked	l)					
Yes	624 (59.4)	53 (93)	0.111(0.04-0.308)	<0.0001		
No	424 (40.6)	4 (7)				
Smoking						
Yes	552 (52.8)	2 (3.5)	30.791(7.472-126.89)	<0.0001		
No	493 (47.2)	55 (96.5)				
Alcohol						
Yes	330 (31.6)	2 (3.5)	12.692(3.077-52.347)	<0.0001		
No	715 (68.4)	55 (96.5)				
Table 3. Sex wise Risk Distribution with Single Risk Factor of OSMF						

International Healthcare Research Journal 2022:6(5):OR10-OR18.

Risk Factors	Male (N=1045)	Female (N=57)	OR(95% CI)	P value	
	n (%)	n (%)			
Areca nut					
Yes	611 (58.5)	52 (91.2)	0.135(0.054-0.342)	<0.0001	
No	434 (41.5)	5 (8.8)			
Guthka					
Yes	828 (78.5)	8 (14)	22.32(10.421-47.817)	<0.0001	
No	225 (21.5)	49 (86)			
Tobacco (Non smoked	l)				
Yes	624 (59.4)	53 (93)	0.111(0.04-0.308)	<0.0001	
No	424 (40.6)	4 (7)			
Smoking					
Yes	552 (52.8)	2 (3.5)	30.791(7.472-126.89)	<0.0001	
No	493 (47.2)	55 (96.5)			
Alcohol					
Yes	330 (31.6)	2 (3.5)	12.692(3.077-52.347)	<0.0001	
No	715 (68.4)	55 (96.5)			

 Table 4. Symptoms and Sex wise Risk Distribution

cases had stage III while 185(10.3%) patients had stage IV OSMF (Table 5).

Prevalence of OSMF was also recorded based on age groups. It was more(41.4%) in group II (age 20-29 years) patients while it was least (5.53%) in group I (upto 19 years) patients. The stage I OSMF was more prevalent in group I patients and stage II OSMF was more prevalent in group V (above 50 years) patients. The highest prevalence of stage IV (14.4%) OSMF was in group IV(40-49 years) patients whereas the stage III (36.4%) OSMF had highest prevalence in group II (20-29 years) patients. By applying Chi square test significant association was found between age group and clinical staging of OSMF(P < 0.001) (Table 5).

Table 6 depicts the gender-wise distribution of clinical grading of OSMF, where 32.35% females were affected

with stage III and stage II OSMF, each, while 37.26% males had stage II and 34.06% had stage III OSMF. Stage I OSMF was present in 18.84% males and 16.67% females whereas stage IV OSMF was seen in 18.63% females and 9.83% males.

In the present study 26 cases (25 male, 1 female) were of squamous cell carcinomas(IVB)which accounts for 1.5% malignancy potential in our study. One hundred and fifty-nine(141 male, 18 female) patients(8.8%)were having other precancerous lesion associated with OSMF (IVA).By applying Chi square test significant association was found between gender and clinical staging of OSMF(P < 0.001) (Table 6).

Duration and frequency of the habits

Table 7 shows prevalence of OSMF based on duration

Stage		Age Groups						
	Upto 19	20-29	30-39	40-49	50 & above			
	Group I	Group II	Group III	Group IV	Group V			
I	28(28.3)	149 (20.1)	85(17.7)	49(16.3)	27(14.5)	338(18.7)		
II	35(35.4)	255 (34.4)	185(38.5)	112(38.9)	77(41.4)	664(37.0)		
III	30(30.3)	270(36.4)	164(33.5)	86(30.4)	61(32.8)	611(34.0)		
IVA	5 (5)	65 (8.6)	40 (8.3)	30 (10.6)	20 (10.7)	160 (8.8%)		
IVB	1 (1)	3 (o.4)	12 (2)	11 (3.8)	1 (0.5)	27 (1.5%)		
Total	99(100.0)	742(100.0)	486(100.0)	288(100.0)	186(100.0)	1801(100.0)		
Chi Square=37.573, df=16, P=0.0017								

Stage	Male		Fen	Female			
	No. (%)		No (%)				
I	318 (94.9)	18.8%	17 (5.1)	16.6%	335 (100%)		
II	629 (95)	37.3%	33 (5)	32.4%	662 (100%)		
III	575 (94.5)	34.1%	33 (5.5)	32.4%	608 (100%)		
IVA	141 (88.6)	8.3%	18 (11.4)	17.7%	159 (100%)		
IVB	25 (96.2)	1.5%	1 (3.8)	0.9%	26 (100%)		
Total	1688	100%	102	100%	1790		
	Table 6. Association between genders and clinical grading of OSMF						

of the habits. Duration of habit was divided in 5 groups. A higher prevalence was recorded in Group A (up to 5 years)[721 (40.2%)] followed by Group B (6-10 years)[420 (23.3%)], Group C (11-15 years)[261 (14.3%)], Group E (more than 20 years) [203 (11.2%)]and Group D (16-20 years)[197 (11%)]. This prevalence was statically significant (P < 0.0001) (Table 7).

Frequency of habit was divided in four groups. Prevalence of OSMF was more in Group 2 (6-10 times/day) [616 (34.2%)] and group 3(11-15 times/day) [549 (30.3%)]in comparison to group 1 (up to 5 times/day)[368 (20.6%)] and Group 4 (more than 16 times/day) [268 (14.9%)]. The prevalence was statistically significant (P < 0.0001) (Table 8).

DISCUSSION

Prevalence of OSMF has been estimated to range from 0.1 to 30% based on geographical location, sample size, and sampling methodology.⁸ The prevalence of OSMF

in India, having a broad age range of 11 to 60 years, has been estimated to range from 0.2-2.3% in males and 1.2-4.6% in females.³⁸

The present study showed a higher prevalence of OSMF in males (16.5:1), which is similar to the studies reporting a varying but higher male prevalence with male: female ratio ranging from 2.4:1 to 40:1.[5,9-14]Biradar et al in their study reported all were male patients.[15]However, few studies have reported female preponderance.[16-18]The higher involvement of males in all studies, reflects their easy access to the abusive habits when compared with females.

In the present study, the youngest patient was 15 years of age whereas the oldest patient was 88 years old. The average age of the patient in the study was 32.8 +11.8 years, which is in the similar range with previous studies.[5,19, 20]Majority of the OSMF cases (68.3%) belonged to 20-39 years of age group. This is in

Stage		Total					
	Upto 5 years	6-10 years	11-15 years	16-20 years	> 20 years		
I	147 (20.5%)	81 (18.7%)	44 (17.2%)	39 (19.9%)	27 (13.4%)	338(18.7)	
II	255 (35.5%)	150 (36%)	103 (39.8%)	64 (32.7%)	91 (44.6%)	663(37.0)	
III	252 (34.8%)	150 (36%)	81 (31.7%)	67 (34.2%)	61 (30.2%)	610(34.0)	
IVA	65 (9%)	33 (7.9%)	23 (7.8%)	24 (11.7%)	18 (8.9%)	163 (8.8%)	
IVB	2 (0.2%)	6 (1.4%)	10 (3.5%)	3 (1.5%)	6 (2.9%)	27 (1.5%)	
Total	721 (40.2%)	420 (23.3%)	261 (14.3%)	197 (11%)	203 (11.2%)	1801(100.0)	
Value of ⁷² =31.971, d.f.=16, significant, p=0.0101							
Table 7. Association between duration of habit and clinical grading of OSMF							

International Healthcare Research Journal 2022:6(5):OR10-OR18.

Stage		Total					
	Upto 5 years	6-10 years	11-15 years	16-20 years	> 20 years		
I	147 (20.5%)	81 (18.7%)	44 (17.2%)	39 (19.9%)	27 (13.4%)	338(18.7)	
II	255 (35.5%)	150 (36%)	103 (39.8%)	64 (32.7%)	91 (44.6%)	663(37.0)	
III	252 (34.8%)	150 (36%)	81 (31.7%)	67 (34.2%)	61 (30.2%)	610(34.0)	
IVA	65 (9%)	33 (7.9%)	23 (7.8%)	24 (11.7%)	18 (8.9%)	163 (8.8%)	
IVB	2 (0.2%)	6 (1.4%)	10 (3.5%)	3 (1.5%)	6 (2.9%)	27 (1.5%)	
Total	721 (40.2%)	420 (23.3%)	261 (14.3%)	197 (11%)	203 (11.2%)	1801(100.0)	
Value of ⁷² =31.971, d.f.=16, significant, p=0.0101							
	Table 8. Association between habit frequencies and clinical grading of OSMF						

consistent with the earlier studies by Sirsat and Khanolkar,²¹ Sinor et al,¹⁶ Ahmad et al.² and Shah et al.²⁰ During the recent years, with the arrival of attractive and convenient packaging in the forms of sachet, beguiling advertisements linking it to the social status and most importantly easy availability has led to an increase in consumption of gutkha and pan masala among the younger population, which is also noted in the present study.²

Most of the OSMF patients (62.2%) in the present study belonged to lower middle and lower socioeconomic class. Shiau and Kwan[22]and Ramanathan et al[23]alsomade a similar observations with most cases from Indian populationbeing from low socioeconomic group of the society. McGurk and Crag[24] studied Asian community settled in United Kingdom and they found that most of the OSMF patients were from a low or middle-income group. The reason might be attributed to poor nutritional quality of food with low vitamins, iron and use of more spices and chillies to make the food tasty, coupled with lack of health consciousness.²

Apart from areca-nut chewing being considered as the main causative agent, other contributory risk factors for etiopathogenesis of OSMF includes chewing of smokeless tobacco, high intake of chillies, toxic levels of copper in foodstuffs, vitamin deficiencies, malnutrition resulting in low levels of serum proteins, anaemia and genetic predisposition.⁸ Areca-nut consumption is estimated to be by 10-20% of World's population in different forms.⁸ Areca-nut chewing in its various forms is widely prevalent in the India, giving rise to an increased prevalence of OSMF, from an estimated 2,50,000 cases in 1980 to an estimated 5

million people in 2002.⁵ Moreover, recent data suggests that prevalence of OSMF in India has increased from 0.03% to 6.42%.²³ A marked increase in incidence has been observed after the widespread marketing of commercial products known as Gutkha (mixture of tobacco and areca-nut), sold in single-use packets.⁸

In present study, areca nut chewing and the use of tobacco for teeth cleaning were proportionately higher in females which are attributable primarily to the local cultural practices and easy availability of areca nut and tobacco. Inversely, gutkha chewing and tobacco smoking was more prevalent in males. Seedat and Van Wyk[17]from South Africa and Hazare et al [5]from India had similar observations in their studies. In various epidemiological studies on OSMF, the investigators found a strong association between gutkha, areca nut chewing and OSMF and pointed that these habits led to OSMF.^{2,5,10,12,14,16} In the present study, 13 patients (0.7%) reported no history of any habits.

Burning sensation of oral mucosa (81.34%) and inability to open the mouth wide due to fibrotic bands, were the chief complaints in the present study, which can be considered as the diagnostic signs of the disease.^{5,11,28}

In present study, majority of patients were seen in stage II (37%) and stage III (34%) OSMF, followed by stage I (18.73%) and stage IV (10.3%) OSMF. These findings are in consistent with the study by Srivastvaet al.[14]Kumar et al.¹² found stage II was more prevalent followed by stage IV, III and stage I in their study where as in the study conducted by Hazare et al[5], majority of OSMF (48.3%) cases were in grade III followed by grade II. The less prevalence of stage I in the present study as well as in various other hospital-based studies may be due to

the fact that in the early cases significant changes, especially limited mouth opening, are not seen, and unless there are any significant symptoms or dysfunction of affected part/organ, patients usually donot approach the doctor. A population screening study revealed majority of patients in asymptomatic stage, stage I OSMF was more prevalent.

In the present study, posterior one-third of oral cavity involving both buccal mucosa, retromolar area and soft palate were predominantly affected, which is similar to the observations from two studies from Maharashtra state. Contrary to these findings, a study from Kerala state, reported labial mucosa to be significantly affected, which represents a regional variation with respect to various chewing habits practised in different parts of India.⁵

Although the prevalence based on duration and frequency of habit was variable in the present study, a generalized observation made was that 59.8% of the patient had habit duration for more than 5 years and 79.4% of the patient had frequency of more than 5 times in a day. As most of the patient were in stage II and stage III OSMF, it led us to conclude that the severity was more in subjects who were chewing for longer duration and frequencies. These findings were in accordance with the previous studies.^{11,12}

Malignant transformation of OSMF

Patients with OSMF have been reportedwith higher risk of developing oral squamous cellcarcinoma (OSCC), compared to other PMDs.[8]In the present study 26 cases (25 male, 1 female) were of squamous cell carcinomas (IVB) which accounts for 1.5% malignancy potential.In 1970, a 17-year follow upstudy reported malignant transformation in 7.6% of OSMF cases.[29]Studies with smaller follow up periods also have reported malignant transformation rates ranging from 1.9 to 9%, depending on diagnostic criteria and duration of follow up.[8]A recent study from India has reported malignant transformation in 25.77% of OSMF cases indicating the alarming malignant potential of OSMF.²³

We can conclude from the present study that habit variables in the form of duration, frequency, have increased significance in correlation to severity of clinical grading of OSMF. It was also found that there is a marked difference in the habits, their frequency and duration, signs and symptoms and disease severity in females when compared with males seeking dental care for OSMF at tertiary level, in the Western Indian rural population.

Limitations of the present study includes that since it was a retrospective study, control group was not there and there were a smaller number of females in the study. Also amount/quantity of gutkha/areca nut, its duration in the mouth, style of chewing gutkhaswallowing/spitting and association of prevalence and severity of OSMF with different types of habits were not included. Hence, a well-designed, large, multicentric, prospective study including matched control groups is recommended.

In conclusion, primary prevention for a potentially malignant disorder such as OSMF needs to be improvedat national, state, and individual levels and should involve education of the public regarding the ill effects of areca nut and tobacco along with harsher laws and punishments to restrict the sale of gutkha and similar products. More focus should be on early diagnosis since many patients come so late to diagnosis that interventions are of limited efficacy and despite the efforts taken cure is almost impossible. Further, having multiple habits such as chewing tobacco or areca-nut products, imbibing unhealthy amounts of alcohol, abusing other drugs and often having dietary deficiencies increases the risk of co-morbidities such as metabolic syndromes, respiratory, gastrointestinal/liver cardiovascular and diseases.[8,31]Depending on their dominant symptoms, patients may seek consultation/treatment by either a primary care physicians (PCP) or an oral physicians/dentists. Thus an interdisciplinary approach that may help in early diagnosis of OSMF/potentially malignant disorders and OSCC, with integrated management of both oral and systemic symptoms, improving long term prognosis, reducing suffering and improving quality of life is crucial. Hence all health care professions must work together as a team with the primary goal of prevention.

REFERENCES

1. Pindborg JJ, Sirsat SM. Oral submucous fibrosis. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol. 1966;22(6):764–79.

2. Ahmad MS, Ali SA, Ali AS, Chaubey KK. Epidemiological and etiological study of oral submucous fibrosis among gutkha chewers of Patna, Bihar, India. J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent. 2006;24(2):84–9. 3. More CB, Das S, Patel H, Adalja C, Kamatchi V, Venkatesh R. Proposed clinical classification for oral submucous fibrosis. Oral Oncol. 2012;48(3):200–202.

4. More CB, Rao NR. Proposed clinical definition for oral submucous fibrosis. J Oral Biol Craniofac Res. 2019;9(4):311–314.

5.Vinay K Hazarey, Aditee R Sakrikar, Sindhu M Ganvir Efficacy of curcumin in the treatment for oral submucous fibrosis - A randomized clinical trial Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology Vol. 19 Issue 2 May - Aug 2015

6. Kerr AR, Warnakulasuriya S, Mighell AJ, Dietrich T, Nasser M, Rimal J, et al. A systematic review of medical interventions for oral submucous fibrosis and future research opportunities. Oral Dis. 2011;17(1 Suppl 1):42– 57.

7. Khanna JN, Andrade NN. Oral submucous fibrosis: a new concept in surgical management: Report of 100 cases International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery Volume 1995;24(6):433-9.

8. Rao NR, Villa A, More CB. Oral submucous fibrosis: a contemporary narrative review with a proposed interprofessional approach for an early diagnosis and clinical management J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2020;49:3

9. Pindborg JJ, Kalapesi ILK, Kale SA, Singh B, Taleyarkhan BN. Frequency of oral leukoplakia and related conditions among 10,000 Bombayites. Preliminary Rep, J Ind Dent Assoc. 1965;37:228–229.

10. Pindborg JJ, Chawla TN, Misra RK, Nagpaul RK, Gupta VK. Frequency of oral carcinoma, leukoplakia, leukokeratosis, leukoedema, sub mucous fibrosis and lichen planus in 10,000 Indians in Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh. India Preliminary J Dent Res. 1965;44(3):61

11. Pindborg JJ, Bhat M, Devnath KR, Narayan HR, Ramchandra S. Frequency of oral white lesions in 10,000 individuals in Bangalore, South India, preliminary report. Ind J Med Sci. 1966;2:349–52.

12. Kiran K, Saraswathi TR, Rangnathan K, Devi Uma M, Joshua E. Oral submucous fibrosis: A clinicohistopathological study in Chennai. Indian Journal of DentalResearch 2007;18(3):106-11.

13. Pindborg JJ, Mehta FS, Gupta PC, Daftary DK. Prevalence of oral submucous fibrosis among 50,915 Indian villagers. Brit J Cancer. 1968;22:646–654.

14. Srivastava A, Agarwal R, Chaturvedi TP, Chandra A, Singh OP. Clinical evaluation of the role of tulsi and turmeric in the management of oral submucous fibrosis: A pilot, prospective observational study. J Ayurveda Integr Med. 2015;6:45-9

15. Biradar SB, Munde AD, Biradar BC, Shaik SS, Mishra S. Oral submucous fibrosis: A clinico-histopathological correlational study. J Can Res Ther 2018;14:597-603 16. Sinor PN, Gupta PC, Murti PR. A case-control study of oral submucous fibrosis with special reference to the etiologic role of areca nut J Oral Pathol Med. 1990;19(2):94-8.

17. Seedat HA, Vanwyk CW. Betelnut chewing and sub mucous fibrosis in Durban. South Africa Med J. 1988;74(3):568-71.

18. Hazarey VK, Erlewad DM, Mundhe KA, Ughade SN. Oral submucous fibrosis: study of 1000 cases from central India, J Oral Path And Med.2007;36(1):12-7.

19. Patil PB, Bathi R, Chaudhari S. Prevalence of oral mucosal lesions in dental patients with tobacco smoking, chewing, and mixed habits: a cross-sectional study in South India. J Fam Community Med. 2013;20(2):130–5.

20. Shah B, Lewis MAO, Bedi R. Oral submucous fibrosis in a 11-year-old Bangladeshi girl living in the United Kingdom. British Dental Journal. 2001;191(3):130-2.

21. Shaiu YY, Kwan H. W. Submucous fibrosis in Taiwan. Oral Surg 1979;47(5):453-7.

22. Shiau YY, Kwan HW. Submucous fibrosis in Taiwan. Oral Surg. 1979;47(5):453-7.

23. Ramanathan, K., Dharmalingam, S.K. and Perdaman Singh. (1975) Frequency of Precancerous Conditions in 75 Malaysian Oral Cancer Patients. Mal.]. Surg. 1, 29 - 38.

24. McGurk M, Craig GT (1984) OSMF- Two cases of malignant transformation in Asian immigrants to the United Kingdom. British Journal Oral Maxillofacial surgery : 22:56-64.

25. Jay R, Kannan R, Amit C. Malignant Transformation of Oral Submucous Fibrosis: Overview of Histopathological Aspects. Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology and Oral Radiology 2016;122(2):200-9.

Cite this article as:

Shapoo MI, Bhat MYS, Sharma D, Zargar A. Demographic and Clinical Profile of Oral Submucous Fibrosis: A Retrospective Study. Int Healthc Res J. 2022;6(5):OR10-18. https://doi.org/10.26440/IHRJ/0605.08560

AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS: (*Corresponding Author)

- . Senior Registrar, Trauma Centre Government Medical College & Hospital Doda Jammu & Kashmir
- 2. Professor, Department of Dentistry, Govt Medical College & Hospital, Doda, Jammu & Kashmir (Corresponding Author)
- 3. Senior Registrar, Department of Dentistry, Govt Medical College & Hospital Doda, Jammu & Kashmir
- 4. Senior Registrar, Department of Dentistry, Govt Medical College & Hospital Doda, Jammu & Kashmir

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None declared

Contact Corresponding Author at: dryunissaleem1969[at]gmail[dot]com